UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,733	05/20/2005	Osamu Ohara	1254-0282PUS1	2831
2292 7590 02/11/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			GUSSOW, ANNE	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
	•		NOTWING A TROMP A TE	DEL WEDV MODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/535,733	OHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anne M. Gussow	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extènsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 D This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5,19 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

10/535,733 Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 2, 18, 20, and 21 have been amended.
 - Claims 6-17 have been cancelled.
 - Claim 20 remains withdrawn.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 18, 19, and 21 are under examination.
- 3. The finality of the previous Office action is hereby withdrawn. Applicant's submission after final filed on December 10, 2007 has been entered.
- 4. The following Office Action contains NEW GROUNDS of Rejection.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 5. The rejection of claims 2, 4, 18, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims.
- 6. The rejection of claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim.

10/535,733 Art Unit: 1643

Claim Objections

7. Claims 4, 5, 19, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 2 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 18 are indefinite in reciting hybridization conditions without washing conditions. The extent of hybridization of a molecule is dependent upon both the binding conditions and the washing conditions. It is not clear how to determine what DNA molecule as claimed would hybridize without specific washing conditions.

10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

11. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

Application/Control Number:

10/535,733 Art Unit: 1643

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claim is drawn to polynucleotides of more than 15 nucleotide residues. While the polynucleotide encoding the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID Nos. 3 or 4 that hybridizes to SEQ ID No. 2 is adequately described in the specification as-filed, thereby providing an adequate basis for the polynucleotide that encodes SEQ ID Nos. 3 or 4 and hybridizes to SEQ ID No. 2, there is insufficient written description as to the identity of the large genus of polynucleotides that would hybridize to the DNA of SEQ ID No. 2 comprising more than 15 nucleotides.

The specification as filed does not provide adequate written description support for just any polynucleotide of more than 15 nucleotides that hybridizes to SEQ ID No. 2. A broad genus having potentially highly diverse functions is encompassed by the phrase comprising more than 15 nucleotides and conception cannot be achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention. The sequence itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Therefore, only the polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID Nos. 3 or 4 and hybridizing to SEQ ID No. 2 meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. <u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that applicant

10/535,733

Art Unit: 1643

must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the written description inquiry, whatever is now claimed. (See page 1117.) The specification does not clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed. (See <u>Vas-Cath</u> at page 1116.). Consequently, Applicant was not in possession of the instant claimed invention. See <u>University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.</u> 43 USPQ2d 1398.

Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications
Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, & 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol.
66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

Conclusion

- 12. Claim 1 is in condition for allowance.
 - Claims 2 and 18 are rejected. Claims 4, 5, 19, and 21 are objected to.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne M. Gussow whose telephone number is (571) 272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30 am 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/535,733 Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anne M. Gussow

December 27, 2007

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER